

RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

DEC 23 2004

STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

# OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF ILLINOIS

Lisa Madigan

December 20, 2004

PUD 05-18

The Honorable Dorothy Gunn Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center, Ste. 11-500 100 West Randolph Chicago, Illinois 60601

## Re: People v. Ralph Stone, Mayor of the Village of Gorham

Dear Clerk Gunn:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and ten copies of a NOTICE OF FILING, MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT and STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT in regard to the above-captioned matter. Please file the originals and return file-stamped copies of the documents to our office in the enclosed self-addressed, stamped envelope.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration.

Very truly yours.

Kristen Laughridge

GOU

Environmental Bureau 500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62706 (217) 782-9031

KL/pp Enclosures

500 South Second Street, Springfield, Illinois 62706 • (217) 782-1090 • TTY: (217) 785-2771 • Fax: (217) 782-7046
100 West Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois 60601 • (312) 814-3000 • TTY: (312) 814-3374 • Fax: (312) 814-3806
1001 East Main, Carbondale, Illinois 62901 • (618) 529-6400 • TTY: (618) 529-6403 • Fax: (618) 529-6416

## RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

# BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PCB No. 0

(Enforcement)

DEC 23 2004

STATE OF ILLINOIS

Pollution Control Board

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

Complainant,

vs.

RALPH STONE, Mayor of the Village of Gorham,

Respondent.

## NOTICE OF FILING

To: Ralph Stone, Mayor Village of Gorham 404 North Adams St. Gorham, IL 62940

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on this date I mailed for filing with the Clerk of the Pollution

Control Board of the State of Illinois, a MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT

and STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT, a copy of which is attached hereto and

herewith served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

فيسهدن الأسالي والمعالية

BY:

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/Asbestos Litigation Division

> KRISTEN LAUGHRIDGE Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau

500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62706 217/782-9031 Dated: December 20, 2004

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I did on December 20, 2004, send by First Class Mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, by depositing in a United States Post Office Box a true and correct copy of the following instruments entitled NOTICE OF FILING, MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT and STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT:

To: Ralph Stone, Mayor Village of Gorham 404 North Adams St. Gorham, IL 62940

and the original and ten copies by First Class Mail with postage thereon fully prepaid of the

same foregoing instrument(s):

To: Dorothy Gunn, Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center Suite 11-500 100 West Randolph Chicago, Illinois 60601

A copy was also sent by First Class Mail with postage thereon fully prepaid to:

Carol Webb Hearing Officer Pollution Control Board 2021 North Grand Avenue East Springfield, IL 62794

Kristen Laughridge

Assistant Attorney General

This filing is submitted on recycled paper.

# BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

## PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

Complainant,

٧.

PCB NO. 05-78 (Enforcement) DEC 23 2004

STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

RALPH STONE, Mayor of the Village of Gorham,

Respondent.

## MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT

NOW COMES Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, and pursuant to Section 31(c)(2) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2) (2002), moves that the Illinois Pollution Control Board grant the parties in the above-captioned matter relief from the hearing requirement imposed by Section 31(c)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(1) (2002). In support of this motion, Complainant states as follows:

 On November 3, 2004, Complainant filed a Complaint with the Board, alleging violations by the Respondent of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act and Federal Regulations.

2. The parties have reached agreement on all outstanding issues in this matter.

3. This agreement is presented to the Board in a Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, filed contemporaneously with this motion.

4. All parties agree that a hearing on the Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement is not necessary, and respectfully request relief from such a hearing as allowed by Section 31(c)(2) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2) (2002).

WHEREFORE, Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, hereby requests that the Board grant this motion for relief from the hearing requirement set forth in Section 31(c)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(1) (2002).

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS LISA MADIGAN ATTORNEY GENERAL

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/Asbestos Litigation Division BY:

KRISTEN LAUGHRIDØE Environmental Bureau Assistant Attorney General

500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62706 217/782-9031 Dated: December 20, 2004

## RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD DEC 23 2004

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

Complainant,

#### PCB 05-78

v.

(Enforcement)

RALPH STONE,

Respondent.

#### STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT

Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA"), and RALPH STONE ("Respondent"), have agreed to the making of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement ("Stipulation") and submit it to the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") for approval. The parties agree that the statement of facts contained herein represents a fair summary of the evidence and testimony which would be introduced by the parties if a hearing were held. The parties further stipulate that this statement of facts is made and agreed upon for purposes of settlement only and that neither the fact that a party has entered into this Stipulation, nor any of the facts stipulated herein, shall be introduced into evidence

in any other proceeding regarding the claims asserted in the Complaint except as otherwise provided herein. If the Board approves and enters this Stipulation, Respondent agrees to be bound by the Stipulation and Board Order and not to contest their validity in any subsequent proceeding to implement or enforce their terms.

## I. JURISDICTION

The Board has jurisdiction of the subject matter herein and of the parties consenting hereto pursuant to the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/1 et seq. (2002).

#### II. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned representatives for each party certify that they are fully authorized by the party whom they represent to enter into the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and to legally bind them to it.

## III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

## A. Parties

1. On November 3, 2004, a Complaint was filed on behalf of the People of the State of Illinois by Lisa Madigan, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, on her own motion and upon the request of the Illinois EPA, pursuant to Section 31 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(2002), against the Respondent.

2. The Illinois EPA is an administrative agency of the State of Illinois, created pursuant to Section 4 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/4 (2002).

3. Respondent is a "person" as defined in Section 3.26 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/3.26 (2002).

## B. Site Description and History

In May 2003, the Village of Gorham received and Order from the Jackson County Court authorizing it to demolish the dangerous and unsafe building at the Corner of Washington and Walnut Street in Gorham, Jackson County, Illinois, also called the Gorham High School. In May 2003, the Jackson County Health Department informed Respondent that asbestos is likely present in the Gorham High School and that prior to a demolition a Notice of Demolition must be filed with the Illinois EPA and all asbestos must be identified and removed by a licensed asbestos abatement contractor. In August 2003, Respondent partially demolished a portion of the Gorham High School. In August 2003, Illinois EPA and Jackson County Health Department inspected the Gorham High School and observed dry friable insulation mixed in debris piles beside the school and hanging from a roof rafter. Illinois EPA

waste from Gorham High School, and analytical testing showed that the insulation had asbestos present in concentrations ranging from 65% to 75%. Upon direction of Illinois EPA, Respondent ceased demolition and removal activities.

#### C. Allegations of Non-Compliance

Complainant contends that the Respondent has violated the following provisions of the Act and Federal Regulations:

- Count I: Failure to conduct a thorough investigation and file a notice of demolition activities, in violation of Section 9.1(d)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/9.1(d)(1)(2002) and 40 C.F.R. § 61.145(b)(1).
- Count II: Caused, threatened or allowed the discharge of contaminants, in violation of Sections 9(a) and 9.1(d)(1) of the Act 415 ILCS 5/9(a) and 9.1(d)(1), 40 C.F.R. § 61.145(c)(8), 40 C.F.R. § 61.145(c)(9), 40 C.F.R. § 61.150(b)

#### D. Admission of Violations

The Respondent represents that it has entered into this Stipulation for the purpose of settling and compromising disputed claims without having to incur the expense of contested litigation. By entering into this Stipulation and complying with its terms, the Respondent does not affirmatively admit the allegations of violation within the Complaint, and this Stipulation shall not be interpreted as including such admission.

#### IV. APPLICABILITY

This Stipulation shall apply to and be binding upon the Complainant and the Respondent, and any officer, director, agent, or employee of the Respondent, as well as any successors or assigns of the Respondent. The Respondent shall not raise as a defense to any enforcement action taken pursuant to this Stipulation the failure of any of its officers, directors, agents, employees or successors or assigns to take such action as shall be required to comply with the provisions of this Stipulation.

## V. COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS AND REGULATIONS

This Stipulation in no way affects the responsibilities of the Respondent to comply with any other federal, state or local laws or regulations including, but not limited to, the Act and the Board regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code, Subtitles A through H.

## VI. IMPACT ON THE PUBLIC RESULTING FROM ALLEGED NON-COMPLIANCE

Section 33(c) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/33(c)(2002), provides as follows:

In making its orders and determinations, the Board shall take into consideration all the facts and circumstances bearing upon the reasonableness of the emissions, discharges, or deposits involved including, but not limited to:

 the character and degree of injury to, or interference with the protection of the health, general welfare and physical property of the people;

- 2. the social and economic value of the pollution source;
- 3. the suitability or unsuitability of the pollution source to the area in which it is located, including the question of priority of location in the area involved;
- 4. the technical practicability and economic reasonableness of reducing or eliminating the emissions, discharges or deposits resulting from such pollution source; and
- 5. any subsequent compliance.

In response to these factors, the parties state the following:

1. Human health and the environment were threatened by the Respondent's violations.

2. There no are social and economic benefits as to school.

3. The school is unsuitable for the area in which it is located.

4. Complying with Federal standards for asbestos removal and building demolition are both technically practicable and economically reasonable.

5. The Respondent has subsequently been in compliance.

## VII. CONSIDERATION OF SECTION 42(h) FACTORS

Section 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(h)(2002), provides as follows:

In determining the appropriate civil penalty to be imposed under . . . this Section, the Board is authorized to consider any matters of record in mitigation or aggravation of penalty, including but not limited to the following factors:

1. the duration and gravity of the violation;

2. the presence or absence of due diligence on the part of the respondent in attempting to comply with requirements of this Act and regulations thereunder or to secure relief therefrom as provided by this Act;

- 3. any economic benefits accrued by the respondent because of delay in compliance with requirements, in which case the economic benefits shall be determined by the lowest cost alternative for achieving compliance;
- 4. the amount of monetary penalty which will serve to deter further violations by the respondent and to otherwise aid in enhancing voluntary compliance with this Act by the respondent and other persons similarly subject to the Act;
- 5. the number, proximity in time, and gravity of previously adjudicated violations of this Act by the respondent;
- 6. whether the respondent voluntarily self-disclosed, in accordance with subsection i of this Section, the non-compliance to the Agency; and
- 7. whether the respondent has agreed to undertake a "supplemental environmental project," which means an environmentally beneficial project that a respondent agrees to undertake in settlement of an enforcement action brought under this Act, but which the respondent is not otherwise legally required to perform.

In response to these factors, the parties state as follows:

1. The Respondent partially demolished the Gorham High School that contained asbestos material without conducting a thorough investigation and removal of asbestos containing material or filing a notice of demolition with the Illinois EPA. These violations are of a serious nature because they caused or allowed the discharge of contaminants into the air.

2. Respondent was diligent in achieving compliance with the Act and Board regulations.

3. No economic benefit was accrued.

4. Complainant has determined, based upon the specific facts of this matter that a penalty of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) will serve to deter further violations and aid in future voluntary compliance with the Act and Board regulations.

5. Respondent has no prior adjudicated violations of the Act and Board regulations.

6. Self-disclosure is not at issue in this matter

7. The settlement of this matter does not include a supplemental environmental project (SEP).

## VIII. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

## A. Penalty Payment

1. The Respondent shall pay a civil penalty in the sum of five hundred dollars (\$500.00) within thirty (30) days from the date the Board adopts and accepts this Stipulation. The penalty

described in this Stipulation shall be paid by certified check, money order or electronic funds transfer payable to the Illinois EPA, designated to the Illinois Environmental Protection Trust Fund and submitted to:

> Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Fiscal Services Section 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276

A copy of the certified check, money order or record of electronic funds transfer and any transmittal letter shall be sent to:

> Kristen Laughridge Environmental Bureau Attorney General's Office 500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62706

William Ingersoll Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

2. Pursuant to Section 42(g) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(g) (2002), interest shall accrue on any payment not paid within the time period prescribed above at the maximum rate allowable under Section 1003(a) of the Illinois Income Tax Act, 35 ILCS 5/1003 (2002). Interest on any unpaid payment shall begin to accrue from the date the payment is due and continue to accrue until the date payment is received.

3. For purposes of payment and collection, Respondent may be reached at the following address:

Mayor Ralph Stone Village of Gorham 404 N. Adams Gorham, IL 62940

4. In the event of default of Section VIII.A, the Complainant shall be entitled to all available relief including, but not limited to, reasonable costs of collection and reasonable attorney's fees.

#### B. Compliance Plan

There are no compliance issues for this case.

## C. Future Use

Notwithstanding any other language in this Stipulation to the contrary, and in consideration of the mutual promises and conditions contained in this Stipulation, including the Release from Liability contained in Section VIII.E, below, the Respondent hereby agrees that this Stipulation may be used against the Respondent in any subsequent enforcement action or permit proceeding as proof of a past adjudication of violation of the Act and the Board Regulations promulgated thereunder for all violations alleged in the Complaint in this matter, for purposes of Section 39(a) and (i) and/or 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/39(a) and(i) and/or 5/42(h)(2002). Further, Respondent agrees

to waive any rights to contest, in any subsequent enforcement action or permit proceeding, any allegations that these alleged violations were adjudicated.

#### D. Cease and Desist

The Respondent shall cease and desist from future violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint as outlined in Section III.C ("Allegations of Non-Compliance") of this Stipulation.

## E. Release from Liability

In consideration of the Respondent's payment of the \$500.00 penalty, and any specified costs and accrued interest, completion of all activities required hereunder, to Cease and Desist as contained in Section VIII.D and upon the Pollution Control Board's acceptance and approval of the terms of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, the Complainant releases, waives and discharges the Respondent from any further liability or penalties for violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint herein. The release set forth above does not extend to any matters other than those expressly specified in Complainant's Complaint. The Complainant reserves, and this Stipulation is without prejudice to, all rights of the State of Illinois against the Respondent with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to, the following:

a. criminal liability;

b. liability for future violation of state, federal,local, and common laws and/or regulations;

c. liability for natural resources damage arising out of the alleged violations; and

d. liability or claims based on the Respondent's failure to satisfy the requirements of this Stipulation.

Nothing in this Stipulation is intended as a waiver, discharge, release, or covenant not to sue for any claim or cause of action, administrative or judicial, civil or criminal, past or future, in law or in equity, which the State of Illinois or the Illinois EPA may have against any person, as defined by Section 3.315 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/3.315, or entity other than the Respondent.

## F. Execution of Document

This Stipulation shall become effective only when executed by all parties and approved by the Board. This Stipulation may be executed by the parties in one or more counterparts, all of which taken together, shall constitute one and the same instrument.

## H. Enforcement of Board Order

1. Upon the entry of the Board's Order approving and accepting this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, that Order is a binding and enforceable order of the Illinois

Pollution Control Board and may be enforced as such through any and all available means.

2. Respondent agrees that notice of any subsequent proceeding to enforce the Board Order approving and accepting this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement may be made by mail and waives any requirement of service of process.

3. The parties agree that, if the Board does not approve and accept this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, then neither party is bound by the terms herein.

4. It is the intent of the Complainant and Respondent that the provisions of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement and any Board Order accepting and approving such shall be severable, and should any provision be declared by a court of competent jurisdiction to be inconsistent with state or federal law, and therefore unenforceable, the remaining clauses shall remain in full force and effect.

WHEREFORE, Complainant and Respondent request that the Board adopt and accept the foregoing Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement as written.

# PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/ Asbestos Litigation Division

BY:

THOMAS DAVIS, Chief Environmental Bureau Assistant Attorney General

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

BY: JØSEPH E. SVOBODA chief Legal Counsel

DATE: 12-13-04

DATE: 12/15/04

RALPH STONE

Ralph Stows Name: RALpH STONIE Title: MAY, A BY:

17-04 DATE: